

# Picard–Fuchs Equations and Special Geometry<sup>\*</sup>

A. Ceresole<sup>1,5</sup>, R. D’Auria<sup>2</sup>, S. Ferrara<sup>3,4</sup>, W. Lerche<sup>3</sup> and J. Louis<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di Torino and INFN, Sezione di Torino, Italy*

<sup>3</sup>*CERN, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Physics, UCLA, Los Angeles, USA.*

<sup>5</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Torino and INFN,  
Sezione di Torino, Italy.*

## Abstract

We investigate the system of holomorphic differential identities implied by special Kählerian geometry of four-dimensional  $N = 2$  supergravity. For superstring compactifications on Calabi–Yau threefolds these identities are equivalent to the Picard–Fuchs equations of algebraic geometry that are obeyed by the periods of the holomorphic three-form. For one variable they reduce to linear fourth-order equations which are characterized by classical  $W$ –generators; we find that the instanton corrections to the Yukawa couplings are directly related to the non-vanishing of  $w_4$ . We also show that the symplectic structure of special geometry can be related to the fact that the Yukawa couplings can be written as triple derivatives of some holomorphic function  $F$ . Moreover, we give the precise relationship of the Yukawa couplings of special geometry with three-point functions in topological field theory.

---

<sup>\*</sup> Supported in part by DOE grants DE-AC0381-ER50050, DOE-AT03-88ER40384, Task E, and by M.U.R.S.T. .

## 1. Introduction and Summary

It is well known that four-dimensional heterotic string vacua which are  $N = 1$  space-time supersymmetric have necessarily an  $N = 2, c = 9$  SCFT in the left-moving sector [1]. Furthermore, the couplings of the corresponding low energy effective Lagrangian are directly related to correlation functions in the  $N = 2$  SCFT. Unfortunately, for generic string vacua we are currently not able to calculate the relevant correlation functions. However, recently for a particular family of non-trivial string vacua (a compactification on a specific Calabi-Yau threefold), some of the couplings in the low energy effective Lagrangian have been computed exactly (at the string tree level, but to all orders in the  $\sigma$ -model coupling) by using techniques of algebraic geometry and without ever relying on the underlying SCFT [2]. It is clearly important to understand in some detail the general structure behind this specific example. Indeed, it was shown in ref. [2] that the couplings could be obtained from the solution of a certain fourth-order linear holomorphic differential equation. It was realized that this differential equation is a particular case of “Picard-Fuchs equations” obeyed by the periods of the holomorphic three-form  $\Omega$  that exists on any Calabi-Yau threefold [3–5]. (Picard-Fuchs equations can be derived for general “Calabi-Yau”  $d$ -folds [4,3], but we consider only  $d = 3$  in the following.)

In a parallel development, twisted  $N = 2$  SCFTs were investigated [6]. It was shown that the twisting essentially leads to a projection onto the massless subsector of the original SCFT and that certain correlation functions are topological and (almost) identical to their untwisted analogues. In these theories one can derive from consistency considerations [7,8] differential equations that are equivalent to the Picard-Fuchs equations. We should note that not only the Picard-Fuchs equations arise from these topological considerations but there are further properties of the low energy effective Lagrangian encoded in some appropriate topological field theory (TFT) [9,10].

A further step in uncovering the general structure behind the differential equation was undertaken in ref. [11]. It was realized that the Picard-Fuchs equations for a Calabi-Yau threefold are just another way of expressing a geometrical structure called “special geometry” [12–16]. It first arose in the study of coupling vector multiplets to  $N = 2$  supergravity in four dimensions [12]. In string theory, special geometry is

related to the subclass of string vacua with (2, 2) worldsheet supersymmetry [17–19]. The additional right–moving world–sheet supersymmetry implies further constraints on the couplings of the effective Lagrangian. In particular, in the moduli sector of the theory these constraints can be expressed by the equations [12,14–16,19]

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma}^{\delta} &= g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\delta_{\gamma}^{\delta} + g_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}\delta_{\alpha}^{\delta} - C_{\alpha\gamma\epsilon}g^{\epsilon\bar{\epsilon}}C_{\bar{\beta}\delta\bar{\epsilon}}g^{\delta\bar{\delta}}, \\ C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= e^K W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Here,  $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(z, \bar{z}) = \partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\bar{\beta}}K(z, \bar{z})$  is the Kähler metric on the moduli space and the (completely symmetric) Yukawa couplings  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  are holomorphic functions of the moduli  $z^{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $n$  is the dimension of the moduli space). A complex Kähler manifold whose metric obeys (1.1) is called a special Kähler manifold. (Further relevant formulas of special geometry are collected in Appendix A.)

For given  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ , eq. (1.1) can be viewed as a covariant and non-holomorphic differential equation for the Kähler potential. Its general solution can be expressed [16,14] in terms of  $n + 1$  holomorphic sections  $X^A(z)$ ,  $A = 0, 1, \dots, n$  which obey  $\partial_{\bar{\alpha}}X^A = 0$ :

$$K = -\ln i(X^A\bar{F}_A - \bar{X}^A F_A), \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \partial_{\alpha}X^A\partial_{\beta}X^B\partial_{\gamma}X^CF_{ABC}, \quad (1.3)$$

and  $F_A(X) = \frac{\partial F(X)}{\partial X^A}$ , *etc*, and  $F(X)$  is a homogeneous function of  $X$  of degree two. We see that all information about  $K$  and  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  is encoded in the holomorphic objects  $X^A(z)$  and  $F_A(z)$  and their complex conjugates.

In order to make contact with the differential equation of ref. [2], one observes [16,14,15] that eq. (1.1) is entirely equivalent to the following system of non-holomorphic first–order equations

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\alpha}V &= U_{\alpha} \\ D_{\alpha}U_{\beta} &= -iC_{\alpha\beta\gamma}g^{\gamma\bar{\gamma}}\bar{U}_{\bar{\gamma}} \\ D_{\alpha}\bar{U}_{\bar{\beta}} &= g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\bar{V} \\ D_{\alpha}\bar{V} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $V(z) = (X^A(z), F_A(z))$  and  $D_\alpha$  is the Kähler and reparametrization covariant derivative. By successively inserting these equations into each other one can represent this system by

$$D_\alpha D_\beta (C^{-1\hat{\gamma}})^{\rho\sigma} D_{\hat{\gamma}} D_\sigma V = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

(assuming for the moment that the matrix  $(C_\alpha)_{\beta\gamma}$  is invertible). Here,  $\hat{\gamma}$  is a priori not summed over (in contrast to  $\sigma$ ), but it is easy to show that the equation remains valid even if also  $\hat{\gamma}$  is summed over. In ref. [11] it was shown that eq. (1.5) in one complex dimension ( $n=1$ ) is actually holomorphic, although its building blocks are not. It is the covariant version of the (holomorphic) equation of ref. [2], and thus is the analogue of the Picard–Fuchs equations in special geometry. Its solution determines  $X^A$  and  $F_A$  and thus via eqs. (1.3),(1.2) also  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  and  $K$ .

The existence of the covariant holomorphic differential equation (1.5) is intimately connected to the fact that the Christoffel as well as the Kähler connection naturally split into the sum of two terms [11]. One of them is non-holomorphic and transforms as a tensor whereas the other term is holomorphic and transforms like a connection. Furthermore, the holomorphic pieces of these connections are flat and vanish in “special coordinates”

$$t^a(z) = \frac{X^a(z)}{X^0(z)} \quad (a = 1, \dots, n) . \quad (1.6)$$

A similar situation holds in topological Landau-Ginzburg models where the flat connection can be identified with the Gauss–Manin connection [20,10,4].

Ref. [11] studied equation (1.5) in detail only in one complex dimension; in this paper we generalize the analysis to arbitrary dimensions  $n$ . However, we start in section 2 by adding a few observations to the results of ref. [11]. In particular we show that eq. (1.5) in one dimension is not the most general linear fourth-order differential equation but rather is characterized by the vanishing of one of the “invariants”,  $w_3 = 0$ . The other invariant  $w_4$  measures the deviation from covariantly constant<sup>★</sup> Yukawa couplings, or in other words, the deviation from the large radius (classical) limit of the Calabi–Yau moduli space.

Every  $N$ -th order differential equation is equivalent to a first-order matrix equation of the form  $(\partial - A)\mathbf{V} = 0$ , where the first row of  $\mathbf{V}$  is the solution vector  $V$  in

---

★ Covariantly constant with respect to the holomorphic connections.

(1.5). In section 2.2 we show that  $w_3 = 0$  translates into the statement that the gauge potential  $\mathbf{A}$  of this matrix equation takes values in  $sp(4)$ . It is this fact which nicely generalizes to the case of an  $n$ -dimensional moduli space.

In section 3 we derive the Picard–Fuchs equations of special geometry for an arbitrary number of moduli. They are a direct consequence of (1.3), and are most easily displayed as  $n$  coupled first-order holomorphic matrix equations:

$$(\partial_\alpha - \mathbf{A}_\alpha)\mathbf{V} = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Here,  $\mathbf{A}$  takes values in  $sp(2n+2)$ . This is the analogue of the vanishing of  $w_3$  in one dimension.  $\mathbf{A}_\alpha$  is a sum of a matrix  $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$  which contains the flat connections plus the structure constants  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha$  of a  $2n+2$  dimensional chiral ring  $\mathcal{R}^{(3)}$ . The structure constants contain the Yukawa couplings  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  and furthermore satisfy  $[\mathbb{C}_\alpha, \mathbb{C}_\beta] = 0, \mathbb{C}^4 = 0$ . Because the connection is symplectic,  $\mathbf{V}$  can always be taken as an element of  $Sp(2n+2)$ . This means that the well-known symplectic structure of special geometry can ultimately be traced back to the identity (1.3).

In section 3.2 we display the relationship between equations (1.7) and (1.4). Eq. (1.4) can also be written as a first-order matrix equation  $(\partial_\alpha - \mathcal{A}_\alpha)\mathbf{U} = 0$ , albeit with a non-holomorphic connection  $\mathcal{A}$ . Strominger observed [14] that the system (1.1) is just the flatness condition for  $\mathcal{A}$ . We will show that eq. (1.7) corresponds to a gauge where  $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = 0, \mathcal{A} = \mathbf{A}, \overline{\partial}\mathbf{A} = 0$ .

In section 3.3 we consider particular cases where  $\mathbb{C}$  is degenerate, which corresponds to decoupled chiral rings. Here the  $F$ -function is a direct sum whereas the metric of the moduli space is a complicated function and by no means the metric on a product space. This clearly shows that the fundamental object in special geometry is indeed the holomorphic function  $F$  and not the non-holomorphic metric on moduli space.

As we indicated above, the motivation for the present work was to analyse the holomorphic differential equations of special geometry. So far, the discussion has been completely general and applies also to geometries that do not have an interpretation in terms of Calabi–Yau manifolds or TFT’s. In section 4 we relate eqs. (1.4)–(1.7) to Calabi–Yau moduli spaces, where  $V, U_\alpha, \overline{U}_\alpha, \overline{V}$  correspond to basis elements of the third cohomology,  $H^3 = H^{(3,0)} \oplus H^{(2,1)} \oplus H^{(1,2)} \oplus H^{(0,3)}$  [14,15]. We then continue to

relate the formulas used in topological Landau-Ginzburg theory to the structures uncovered in special geometry in section 3. This is useful in order to explicitly compute the differential equations. In particular we verify that the computation of Yukawa couplings  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  via Picard–Fuchs equations in special geometry is, as expected, identical to the computation of certain three–point correlators in topological Landau-Ginzburg theory.

We should stress that in the context of special geometry the deformations of the Kähler class and the deformations of the complex structure appear on an equal footing. This is due to the fact that eq. (1.1) holds for both types of moduli [19,17] which is another manifestation of “mirror symmetry” [21,2,9]. In practical applications it is often possible to compute the Picard–Fuchs equations for one type of moduli only. In order to find the Picard–Fuchs equation for the other class of moduli, one needs to make use of the mirror symmetry.

By using “topological anti–topological fusion” the geometrical structure implied by eq. (1.1) was extended [10] to include relevant (massive) perturbations in addition to the marginal (massless) moduli considered here. One of the main objectives of [10] was to construct non–holomorphic quantities (like the metric) from TFT. On the other hand, the emphasis of the present paper is on the structure of the holomorphic Picard–Fuchs equations, in relation to special geometry.

Moreover, in Appendix C we discuss the differential equations for cubic  $F$ –functions.

## 2. Differential equations for one variable

### 2.1. Linear differential equations and $W$ –generators

In ref. [2] it was shown that the periods of a one–dimensional moduli space of a particular Calabi–Yau–threefold (a quintic in  $CP_4$ ) are determined by a fourth–order linear differential equation. The corresponding differential equation in special geometry —the one–dimensional version of eq. (1.5)— was derived in ref. [11]. In this section we add some observations concerning this one–dimensional case. This will prove advantageous for the study of the general situation.

Thus, let us first briefly review some facts about linear fourth-order differential equations [22]. Their general form reads

$$\sum_{n=0}^4 a_n(z) \partial_z^n V = 0 , \quad (2.1)$$

where the  $a_n$  obey well-defined transformation laws in order to render eq. (2.1) covariant under coordinate changes  $z \rightarrow \tilde{z}(z)$ ,  $\partial \rightarrow \xi^{-1} \partial$ ,  $\xi \equiv \partial \tilde{z} / \partial z$ . Not all of the  $a_n$  are relevant. First, one can scale out  $a_4$ , and furthermore drop the coefficient proportional to  $a_3$  by means of the redefinition  $V \rightarrow V e^{-1/4 \int \frac{a_3(u)}{a_4(u)} du}$ . This puts the differential equation into the form

$$\mathcal{D}V \equiv (\partial^4 + c_2 \partial^2 + c_1 \partial + c_0) V = 0 , \quad (2.2)$$

where the new coefficients  $c_n$  are combinations of the  $a_n$  and their derivatives. In this basis  $V$  transforms as a  $-3/2$  differential, but the transformation properties of the  $c_n$  are not very illuminating. However, one can find combinations of the  $c_n$ 's and their derivatives which transform like tensors:

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 &= c_2 \\ w_3 &= c_1 - c_2' \\ w_4 &= c_0 - \frac{1}{2} c_1' + \frac{1}{5} c_2'' - \frac{9}{100} c_2'^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

A straightforward computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}_2 &= \xi^{-2} [w_2 - 5\{\tilde{z}; z\}] \\ \tilde{w}_3 &= \xi^{-3} w_3 \\ \tilde{w}_4 &= \xi^{-4} w_4 , \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\{\tilde{z}; z\} = (\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\xi} - \frac{3}{2} (\frac{\partial \xi}{\xi})^2)$  is the Schwarzian derivative. Actually  $w_2, w_3, w_4$  form a classical  $W_4$ -algebra (see, for instance, [23]), a fact that we will not make use of in this paper. Using (2.2) and (2.3) one finds

$$\mathcal{D}V = [\partial^4 + w_2 \partial^2 + (w_3 + w_2') \partial + \frac{3}{10} w_2'' + \frac{9}{100} w_2'^2 + \frac{1}{2} w_3' + w_4] V . \quad (2.5)$$

The advantage of rewriting a differential equation in terms of  $W$ -generators is that this is a convenient way to display the particular properties of the equation in a

reparametrization-covariant way. From eq. (2.4) we learn that there is always a coordinate system in which  $w_2 = 0$  holds. On the other hand,  $w_3$  and  $w_4$  do characterize the fourth-order differential operator  $\mathcal{D}$  in any coordinate frame.

Let us return to special geometry: in ref. [11] it was shown that there is a holomorphic fourth-order differential equation that expresses the constraint of special geometry and thus is equivalent to eq. (1.1). This equation is the one-dimensional version of (1.5) and reads

$$DDW^{-1}DDV = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $D$  is the Kähler- and reparametrization covariant derivative defined in (A.11), and  $W$  is the one-dimensional Yukawa coupling. In special coordinates (1.6), this equation becomes very simple,

$$\partial^2 W^{-1} \partial^2 V = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Equation (2.6) can be written in the form (2.1) and one finds that the coefficients are not arbitrary but are related as follows [11]:  $a_3 = 2\partial a_4$ ,  $a_4 = W^{-1}$ ,  $a_1 = \partial a_2 - \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 a_3$ . The coefficients  $a_2$  and  $a_0$  are complicated functions of  $W$  and the connections. The above relations translate into the invariant statement<sup>★</sup>

$$w_3 \equiv 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Furthermore, the other  $W$ -generators are given (in special coordinates) by

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 &= \frac{1}{2W^2} (4WW'' - 5W'^2) \\ w_4 &= \frac{1}{100W^4} (175W'^4 - 280WW'^2W'' + 49W^2W''^2 + 70W^2W'W''' \\ &\quad - 10W^3W'''' ) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Thus, all special geometries in one dimension lead to a fourth-order linear differential equation that is characterized by  $w_3 = 0$ . This is in close relation to the fact that the solution vector  $V$  does not consist of four completely independent elements, but rather has a restricted structure. More precisely, by construction four linear independent solutions are given by the components of the vector (cf., (A.22))

$$V = (X^A(z), F_A(z)) , \quad A = 0, 1, \quad (2.10)$$

---

★ This was noted in [4] for the special case of the quintic hypersurface in  $CP^4$ .



with

$$F_A(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial X^A(z)} F(z), \quad (2.11)$$

where  $F$  is a homogeneous function of  $X$  of degree 2. The reverse statement is however not true:  $w_3 = 0$  does not imply that the solution  $V$  can always be written in the form (2.10). This is proved in Appendix B.

Note that the property (2.11) does not uniquely fix  $V$ . It is known [12,15,16,18] that precisely for symplectic rotations of  $V$ ,

$$(\tilde{X}^A, \tilde{F}_A(\tilde{X}^A)) = (X^A, F_A(X^A)) \cdot M, \quad M \in Sp(4), \quad (2.12)$$

one has  $\tilde{F}_A = (\partial \tilde{F} / \partial \tilde{X}^A)$  where  $\tilde{F}$  is again a homogeneous function of degree 2. Thus, the elements of  $V$  are defined only up to this kind of transformations. Of course, generic linear combinations of the four solutions are still solutions of (2.6), but for these the special structure of the solutions (that reflects  $w_3 = 0$ ) is not manifest.

Symplectic transformations belonging to  $Sp(2n+2, \mathbb{R})$  have a particular meaning in special geometry. They represent changes of special coordinate bases and are exactly those transformations which leave  $K$  form-invariant and consequently do not change any physical quantity. (This can be easily seen from eq. (A.24) which displays manifestly the symplectic structure of  $K$ .) We will show in the following sections how this symplectic structure of special geometry is related to  $w_3 = 0$  in the differential equation.

One can similarly discuss the properties of  $\mathcal{D}$  when in addition:

$$w_4 = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

From (2.9) it is clear that this applies in particular if  $W = \text{const}$ . However,  $w_4(W) = 0$  is a non-trivial differential equation that possesses also other solutions than  $W = \text{const}$ . One might thus ask about the significance of general solutions of  $w_4(W) = 0$  with non-constant superpotential.

If  $w_4 = 0$ , eq. (2.5) simplifies to

$$\mathcal{D}V = \left( \partial^4 + w_2 \partial^2 + w_2' \partial + \frac{3}{10} w_2'' + \frac{9}{100} w_2^2 \right) V, \quad (2.14)$$

and the solutions are given by  $\{\theta_1^3, \theta_1^2\theta_2, \theta_1\theta_2^2, \theta_2^3\}$  [22]. Here,  $\theta_{1,2}$  are the independent solutions of the second-order equation,

$$(\partial^2 + \frac{1}{10}w_2)\theta_{1,2} = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

One easily determines a symplectic basis to be

$$\begin{aligned} X^0 &= \theta_1^3, & F_0 &= -\frac{1}{6}\frac{(X^1)^3}{(X^0)^2} + 2c_{00}X^0 + 2c_{01}X^1, \\ X^1 &= \theta_1^2\theta_2, & F_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{(X^1)^2}{X^0} + 2c_{01}X^0 + 2c_{11}X^1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where  $c_{AB}$  are arbitrary constants. Using the homogeneity property  $X^A F_A = 2F$  or integrating  $F_A$  we find

$$F = \frac{1}{6}\frac{(X^1(z))^3}{X^0(z)} + c_{AB}X^A X^B. \quad (2.17)$$

From this  $F$  we can compute (using (1.3)) the Yukawa coupling and find that it is covariantly constant:  $\widehat{D}W = 0$ . For  $c_{AB} = 0$  (2.17) is the  $F$ -function<sup>★</sup> corresponding to the homogeneous moduli space  $SU(1,1)/U(1)$  (which satisfies the stronger constraint  $DW = 0$ ). Moreover, it follows from the inhomogeneous transformation behavior (2.4) of  $w_2$  that one can always find a ‘‘Schwarzian’’ coordinate where  $w_2$  vanishes, by solving a Schwarzian differential equation  $\{t; z\} = \frac{1}{5}w_2(t)$ . Then one has  $\theta_1 = 1, \theta_2 = t$  and thus can take ( $c_{AB} = 0$ )

$$V = (1, t, \frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{6}t^3), \quad F = \frac{1}{6}t^3, \quad W = \partial^3 F = 1. \quad (2.18)$$

It is clear that  $t = X^1/X^0$  is precisely the special coordinate of eq. (1.6) (note that the coincidence of special coordinates with Schwarzian coordinates holds only if  $w_4 = 0$ ). There is an analogous group action that preserves the relationship between the solutions of (2.14). This group is just the invariance group of the Schwarzian derivative,

---

★ Note that quadratic terms in  $F$  are generally not determined by the Picard–Fuchs equations. They contribute to the physical Yukawa couplings via  $e^K$ . It appears that they can be interpreted as perturbative  $\sigma$ -model corrections in Calabi–Yau compactifications [2].

which is  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ :  $\theta' = \frac{a\theta+b}{c\theta+d}$ ,  $ad - bc = 1$ . The action on the solutions of (2.14) is easily found through the mapping  $V = \theta^3$ :

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a^3 & a^2c & ac^2/2 & c^3/6 \\ 3a^2b & 2abc + a^2d & bc^2/2 + acd & c^2d/2 \\ 6ab^2 & 2b^2c + 4abd & 2bcd + ad^2 & cd^2 \\ 6b^3 & 6b^2d & 3bd^2 & d^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.19)$$

which is part of  $Sp(4, \mathbb{R})$  [24]. Thus, the specific structure of the solutions is unique up to such  $SL(2)$  transformations.

Summarizing, the above means that if  $w_4 = 0$ , the situation for generic  $w_2$  is reparametrization equivalent to  $w_2 = 0$ , in which case the solutions are given by (2.18). This corresponds to a cubic  $F$ -function and to constant Yukawa coupling,  $W$ . In general coordinates where  $w_2$  does not vanish,  $W$  is not constant (but covariantly constant with respect to the holomorphic connections).

Thus, for covariantly constant Yukawa couplings the differential equation is essentially reduced to the differential equation of a torus. This is similar to the situation for the  $K_3$  surface where the only non-trivial  $W$ -generator is  $w_2$  [4]. The possibility of having non-trivial Yukawa couplings, or  $w_4 \neq 0$ , is the new ingredient in special geometry. It reflects the possibility of having instanton corrections to  $W$ . Specifically, it is easy to see from (2.7) that in special coordinates the solutions have the general structure

$$V = (1, t, \tfrac{1}{2}t^2 + \mathcal{O}(t^3), \tfrac{1}{6}t^3 + \mathcal{O}(t^4)), \quad (2.20)$$

where the higher order “instanton” terms arise from a non-trivial  $w_4$ . Thus, the invariant  $w_4$  measures the deviation from  $W = \text{const}$ , which is the large-radius limit of the Calabi–Yau moduli space. One can actually check that the contribution of a given rational curve of degree  $k$  to the Yukawa couplings corresponds to a covariantly constant  $w_4$  generator. That is, from (2.9) one finds that in special coordinates:  $w_4(W = e^{kt}) = (\text{const})k^4$  (see also Appendix B).

We now turn to another way of understanding the significance of  $w_3 = 0$ . This will also allow us to introduce some concepts which nicely generalize to multi-dimensional moduli spaces (section 3).

## 2.2. First-order equations

Any fourth-order linear differential equation (2.1) is equivalent to a first-order matrix equation [25]

$$\left[ \mathbb{1} \partial - \mathbf{A} \right] \cdot \mathbf{V} = 0, \quad (2.21)$$

(for a particular choice of the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ ) where  $\mathbf{V}$  is a  $4 \times 4$  matrix whose first row is  $V$ .  $\mathbf{A}$  matrix of the form

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} * & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 1 & 0 \\ * & * & * & 1 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.22)$$

corresponds to a fourth-order operator with  $a_4 = 1$  whereas  $\text{tr} \mathbf{A} = 0$  leads to  $a_3 = 0$ . However,  $\mathcal{D}$  is left invariant by local gauge transformations acting as  $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow S^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow S^{-1} \mathbf{A} S - S^{-1} \partial S$ , where  $S$  has the form

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 1 & 0 \\ * & * & * & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in N \subset SL(4). \quad (2.23)$$

This is just the usual matrix of lower triangular transformations generated by a nilpotent subalgebra of  $sl(4)$ . The top row of  $\mathbf{V}$  corresponds to the highest weight and thus is also  $N$ -invariant (the other rows of  $\mathbf{V}$  are gauge dependent). That is, the solutions of (2.5) are completely invariant under the local transformations (2.23).

Note also that the more general gauge transformations belonging to a Borel subgroup  $B$  of  $SL(4)$ , where

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \in B, \quad (2.24)$$

do not leave  $\mathcal{D}$  invariant but induce  $a_3 \neq 0$  and  $a_4 \neq 1$ . However, this just corresponds to a rescaling of the solution  $V \rightarrow f(z)V$  (and corresponds to an irrelevant Kähler transformation in this context).

Using the gauge freedom one can put the connection to:

$$A = A_w \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{10}w_2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}w_3 & -\frac{4}{10}w_2 & 0 & 1 \\ -w_4 & -\frac{1}{2}w_3 & -\frac{3}{10}w_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in sl(4, R) . \quad (2.25)$$

To understand this form, recall the well-known relationship<sup>★</sup> between  $W$ -algebras and a special, “principally embedded”  $SL(2)$  subgroup  $\mathcal{K}$  [26] of  $G = SL(N)$  (in fact,  $G$  can be any simple Lie group). The generators of  $\mathcal{K}$  are

$$J_- = \sum_{\substack{\text{simple} \\ \text{roots } \alpha}} b_\alpha E_\alpha , \quad J_+ = \sum_{\substack{\text{simple} \\ \text{roots } \alpha}} c_\alpha(b_\alpha) E_{-\alpha} , \quad J_0 = \rho_G \cdot H , \quad (2.26)$$

where  $b_\alpha$  are arbitrary non-zero constants,  $c_\alpha$  depend on the  $b_\alpha$  in a certain way and  $\rho_G$  is the Weyl vector. An intriguing property [26] of  $\mathcal{K}$  is that the adjoint of any group  $G$  decomposes under  $\mathcal{K}$  in a very specific manner:

$$adj(G) \rightarrow \bigoplus r_j , \quad (2.27)$$

where  $r_j$  are representations of  $SL(2)$  labelled by spin  $j$ , and the values of  $j$  that appear on the r.h.s. are equal to the exponents of  $G$ . The exponents are just the degrees of the independent Casimirs of  $G$  minus one (for  $SL(N)$ , they are equal to  $1, 2, \dots, N-1$ ).

Recalling that the Casimirs are one-to-one to the  $W$  generators associated with  $G$ , one easily sees that the decomposition (2.27) corresponds to writing the connection (2.25) in terms of  $W$ -generators; more precisely, for an  $N$ -th order equation related to  $G = SL(N)$ , the connection (2.25) can be written as [27,23]:

$$A_w = J_- - \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} w_{m+1} (J_+)^m , \quad (2.28)$$

where  $J_\pm$  are the  $SL(2)$  step generators (2.26) (up to irrelevant normalization of the  $w_n$ ).

---

★ We thank R.Stora for discussions on this point.

In our case<sup>†</sup> with  $N = 4$ , the decomposition (2.27) of the adjoint of  $SL(4)$  is given by  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , which corresponds to  $w_2, w_3$  and  $w_4$ . We noticed above that  $w_3 \equiv 0$  for special geometry and this means that  $\mathbf{A}_w$  belongs to a Lie algebra that decomposes as  $j = 1, 2$  under  $\mathcal{K}$ . It follows that this Lie algebra is  $sp(4)$ . Indeed, remembering that the algebra  $sp(n)$  is spanned by matrices  $A$  that satisfy  $AQ + QA^T = 0$ , we can immediately see from (2.25) that

$$\mathbf{A}_w \in sp(4) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad w_3 \equiv 0. \quad (2.29)$$

Above, the symplectic metric  $Q$  is taken as in (A.25).

We chose the gauge in (2.25) such that the symplectic structure is manifest. General gauge transformations conjugate the embedding of  $sp(4)$  in  $sl(4)$ , and in general gauges the fact that  $\mathbf{A}_w \in sp(4)$  is not obvious. The invariant way to express this fact is to state that  $w_3 = 0$  in the gauge invariant scalar equation.

Similarly, if in addition  $w_4 = 0$  (which corresponds to a covariantly constant Yukawa coupling),  $\mathbf{A}_w$  further reduces to an  $SL(2)$  connection. This  $SL(2)$  is identical to the principal  $SL(2)$  subgroup,  $\mathcal{K}$ , since according to (2.28) the entries labelled by  $w_2$  and 1 in (2.25) are directly given by the  $\mathcal{K}$  generators  $J_+$  and  $J_-$ . It consists precisely of the transformations (2.19) that preserve the non-trivial relationship between the solutions.

### 3. Differential equations for arbitrary many moduli

#### 3.1. Holomorphic Picard-Fuchs equations and special geometry

In this section we generalize the previous analysis to many variables. The basic identities of special geometry are given by the system (1.4).<sup>\*</sup> We already mentioned in the introduction that, assuming that  $(C_\alpha)_{\beta\gamma}$  is invertible, these identities are equivalent to

$$D_\alpha D_\beta (C^{-1\hat{\gamma}})^{\rho\sigma} D_{\hat{\gamma}} D_\sigma V = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

---

<sup>†</sup> The choice (2.25) for  $\mathbf{A}$  corresponds to an embedding (2.26) with  $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 1$ , and  $c_1 = c_3 = 3/10, c_2 = 4/10$ .

<sup>\*</sup> The relevant formulas of special geometry are collected in appendix A.

where  $\widehat{\gamma}$  is a priori not summed over.

Since the solution vector  $V \equiv (X_A(z), F^A(X))$  is holomorphic, we expect that the non-holomorphic pieces in (3.1) that come from the connections in  $D$  cancel, so that (3.1) is effectively a purely holomorphic identity. We will prove below that this is indeed the case by showing that  $V$  also satisfies manifestly holomorphic identities that are equivalent to (3.1). These equations contain only the holomorphic connections  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  and  $\partial\widehat{K}$  (defined in Appendix A).

Let us choose special coordinates  $t^a = X^a/X^0$  and the Kähler gauge  $X^0 = 1$ , and consider the following set of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_a V &= V_a \\ \partial_a V_b &= W_{abc} V^c \\ \partial_a V^b &= \delta_a^b V^0 \\ \partial_a V^0 &= 0 ,\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

where  $(V, V_a, V^a, V^0)$  are all holomorphic and  $W_{abc}$  are the Yukawa couplings in special coordinates. The last two equations of (3.2) give

$$V^0 \equiv (1, 0) , \quad V^a \equiv (t^a, \quad 1, \quad 0) ,\tag{3.3}$$

while the first two are solved by setting

$$\begin{aligned}V &\equiv (1, \quad t^a, \quad \partial_a \mathcal{F}, \quad t^a \partial_a \mathcal{F} - 2\mathcal{F}) , \\ V_a &\equiv (0, \quad \delta_a^b, \quad \partial_a \partial_b \mathcal{F}, \quad t^b \partial_a \partial_b \mathcal{F} - \partial_a \mathcal{F}) .\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

The holomorphic function  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined in eq. (A.33) and satisfies (in special coordinates)

$$\partial_a \partial_b \partial_c \mathcal{F} = W_{abc} .\tag{3.5}$$

This identity is the only non-trivial input in solving the differential equations. The system (3.2) can also be written in matrix form,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbb{1} \partial_a - \mathbb{C}_a) \mathbf{V} &= 0 , \\ \mathbb{C}_a &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_a^c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & W_{abc} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_a^b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ,\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

and from the above we see that this is solved by the following  $(2n + 2) \times (2n + 2)$ -dimensional matrix:

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V \\ V_b \\ V^b \\ V^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^a & \partial_a \mathcal{F} & t^a \partial_a \mathcal{F} - 2\mathcal{F} \\ 0 & \delta_b^a & \partial_a \partial_b \mathcal{F} & t^a \partial_a \partial_b \mathcal{F} - \partial_b \mathcal{F} \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_a^b & t^b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.7)$$

From eqs. (3.2), (A.17) we can infer the transformation properties of  $\mathbf{V}$  under coordinate and Kähler transformation and thus it is straightforward to write down the covariant and holomorphic version of eqs. (3.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}_\alpha V &= V_\alpha \\ \hat{D}_\alpha V_\beta &= W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} V^\gamma \\ \hat{D}_\alpha V^\beta &= \delta_\alpha^\beta V^0 \\ \hat{D}_\alpha V^0 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where  $\hat{D}$  is defined in eq. (A.29) and contains the holomorphic connections given in eq. (A.28). This system can also be written as

$$(\mathbb{1}\partial_\alpha - A_\alpha) \mathbf{V} \equiv 0, \quad \mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V \\ V_\beta \\ V^\beta \\ V^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.9)$$

which contains the holomorphic “connection”

$$A_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_\alpha \hat{K} & \delta_\alpha^\gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\hat{\Gamma}_\alpha - \partial_\alpha \hat{K} \mathbb{1})_\beta^\gamma & (W_\alpha)_{\gamma\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (\partial_\alpha \hat{K} \mathbb{1} - \hat{\Gamma}_\alpha)_\gamma^\beta & \delta_\alpha^\beta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \partial_\alpha \hat{K} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.10)$$

The general solution of (3.9) is just the covariant version of eq. (3.7) and thus corresponds to the columns of the matrix

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} X^0 & X^a & X^0 e_a^\alpha \partial_\alpha \mathcal{F} & X^a e_a^\alpha \partial_\alpha \mathcal{F} - 2\mathcal{F} X^0 \\ 0 & X^0 e_\beta^a & X^0 e_a^\alpha \hat{D}_\alpha \partial_\beta \mathcal{F} & X^a e_a^\alpha \hat{D}_\alpha \partial_\beta \mathcal{F} - X^0 \partial_\beta \mathcal{F} \\ 0 & 0 & (X^0)^{-1} e_a^\alpha & (X^0)^{-2} X^a e_a^\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (X^0)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.11)$$



Here  $e_\alpha^a = \partial_\alpha t^a(z)$  which satisfies  $\widehat{D}_\beta e_\alpha^a = 0$ . Furthermore, in arbitrary coordinates  $\mathcal{F}$  is Kähler invariant and obeys

$$\widehat{D}_\alpha \widehat{D}_\beta \widehat{D}_\gamma \mathcal{F} = (X^0)^{-2} W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \quad . \quad (3.12)$$

The system (3.8) implies the following manifestly holomorphic equation for  $V$ :

$$\widehat{D}_\alpha \widehat{D}_\beta (W^{-1})^{\widehat{\gamma}\rho\sigma} \widehat{D}_{\widehat{\gamma}} \widehat{D}_\sigma V = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Using eq. (A.33) one checks that the first row of (3.11) indeed coincides with  $V \equiv (X^A, F_A)$ . We conclude, therefore, that eq. (3.13) is the same as eq. (3.1), except that it is written in a manifestly holomorphic way.

As for one variable, the correspondence between eq. (3.13) and the linear system (3.9) is not unique. Indeed, (3.13) is invariant under gauge transformations (up to Kähler transformations) acting on  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  via

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} *_{1 \times 1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 \\ * & *_{n \times n} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ * & * & *_{n \times n} & \mathbf{0} \\ * & * & * & *_{1 \times 1} \end{pmatrix} \in B, \quad (3.14)$$

which belong to a Borel subgroup  $B$  of  $SL(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$ .

It is easy to check that for one variable, the connection  $\mathbf{A}$  in (3.10) can be gauge transformed to the form (2.25) that displays the  $W$ -generators. More precisely, under a symplectic transformation

$$S = \text{diag}(W^{-1/2}, W^{-1/2}, W^{1/2}, W^{1/2}) \quad (3.15)$$

the connection  $\mathbf{A}$  takes the form

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial \widetilde{K} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \widehat{\Gamma} - \partial \widetilde{K} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\widehat{\Gamma} + \partial \widetilde{K} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \partial \widetilde{K} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.16)$$

where  $\widetilde{K} = \widehat{K} + \frac{1}{2} \ln W = -\ln(X^0 W^{-1/2})$ . To bring further  $\widetilde{K}$  to the gauge (2.25) one obviously needs an additional  $Sp(4)$  transformation that belongs to the nilpotent subgroup  $N$ . This transition from (3.16) to (2.25) is nothing but a Miura transformation [25].

We have seen in section 2 that the Picard-Fuchs equation for one variable can invariantly be characterized by the vanishing of classical  $W$ -generators. The vanishing of  $w_3$  was related to  $A_w \in sp(4)$ . For many variables, we do not know how to characterize the differential equation (3.13) in terms of covariant quantities like  $w_n$ . But in analogy to the one-variable equation, we expect that the statement that corresponds to  $w_3 = 0$  is just that  $A_\alpha \in sp(2n+2)$  in (3.10). Indeed, the gauge in which we wrote (3.10) is manifestly symplectic: one easily verifies that  $QA = (QA)^T$ , where  $Q$  is the symplectic metric given in (A.25).

More generally, we expect that a multi-variable equation can invariantly be characterized by the subalgebra  $g \subset sp(2n+2)$  in which the set of connections actually takes values, for given  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  (just like for  $n=1$  where the additional vanishing of  $w_4$  implies that  $A_w \in sl(2)$ ). For large  $n$ , there exists obviously a large number of distinct possible subgroups. (Note that it is in general not easy to determine  $g$ , as the embedding in  $sp(2n+2)$  is gauge dependent and thus not always obvious. One is missing a gauge-invariant criterion for many variables, in analogy to the vanishing of certain  $W$ -generators for one variable.)

The solution vectors can accordingly be viewed as representations of  $Sp(2n+2)$  (or of some subgroup). The set of solution vectors when written as a matrix  $\mathbf{V}$  can always be chosen in a way such that this matrix becomes a group element, by multiplying  $\mathbf{V}$  with an appropriate constant matrix from the right. One can easily check that our choice of solution matrix (3.11) is indeed symplectic with respect to the metric (A.25). In this way, one can regard  $\mathbf{V}$  as a vielbein  $V_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{A}}$  with a well-defined symplectic action on both indices ( $\hat{A}, \hat{\alpha} = 1, \dots, 2n+2$ ).<sup>★</sup> Under coordinate and Kähler transformations  $z \rightarrow \tilde{z}(z)$ ,  $K \rightarrow K + f(z) + \bar{f}(\bar{z})$ , the matrix  $\mathbf{V}$  transforms as follows:

$$V_{\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{A}}(\tilde{z}) = S_{\hat{\beta}}^{-1\hat{\alpha}}(z) V_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{B}}(z) M_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}} \quad (3.17)$$

where  $S$  is the symplectic block diagonal matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-f} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & e^{-f}\xi^{-1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & e^f\xi & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & e^f \end{pmatrix} \in B, \quad (3.18)$$

---

★ The index  $\hat{A}$  corresponds to a symplectic basis of the Hodge bundle  $\mathcal{H}$  and the index  $\hat{\alpha}$  to the flat bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  defined in ref. [14].

with  $\xi \equiv \xi_\beta^\alpha = \partial \tilde{z}^\alpha / \partial z^\beta$ . Furthermore,  $M$  is a constant matrix that can always be taken as an element of  $Sp(2n+2)$ . One easily infers from (3.10) that these transformations are nothing but gauge transformations of the holomorphic connections  $\partial \hat{K}$  and  $\hat{\Gamma}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\alpha \hat{K} &\longrightarrow \partial_\alpha \hat{K} + \partial_\alpha f \\ \hat{\Gamma}_\alpha &\longrightarrow \xi^{-1} \hat{\Gamma}_\alpha \xi + \partial_\alpha \ln \xi .\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

This point of view allows us to also understand how global  $Sp(2n+2)$  transformations acting on the index  $\hat{A}$  induce local frame rotations acting on the index  $\hat{\alpha}$ : the local rotations are induced by the requirement that  $A_\alpha$  stays in the gauge (3.10). More explicitly, symplectic transformations:  $\tilde{\mathbf{V}} = \mathbf{V} \cdot M$ , which act in particular on the solution vector as

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{X}^A, \tilde{F}_A(\tilde{X}^A)) &= (X^A, F_A(X^A)) \cdot M \\ M &= \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(2n+2) ,\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

induce the following reparametrizations of special coordinates:

$$\tilde{t}^a = \frac{A_B^a X^B + B^{aB} F_B}{A_B^0 X^B + B^{0B} F_B}(t)\tag{3.21}$$

These reparametrizations induce local, compensation gauge transformations (3.19) with  $f = \text{Tr}(\ln \xi)$  and  $\xi = \partial \tilde{t}^a / \partial t^b$ .

Note that the transformations (3.18) belong to the part of the Borel gauge group (3.14) that is not fixed by the gauge choice (3.10); that is, they lie in (the complexification of) the maximal compact subgroup  $U(n) \times U(1)$  of  $Sp(2n+2)$ . This implies that the group element  $\mathbf{V}$  can be thought of as an element of  $G/H$ , where  $G \subset Sp(2n+2)$  and  $H \subset U(n) \times U(1)$ . More specifically, one can decompose [14,10]

$$A_\alpha = \mathbb{I}_\alpha + \mathbb{C}_\alpha ,\tag{3.22}$$

where the diagonal part,  $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$ , consists of the connections  $\hat{\Gamma}$  and  $\partial \hat{K}$  (which are flattened by special coordinates  $t^a = X^a$ ,  $X^0 = 1$ ). Furthermore,  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha$  is the covariant version of (3.6) and generates an Abelian,  $n$ -dimensional subalgebra of  $sp(2n+2)$  that is nilpotent of order three:  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha \mathbb{C}_\beta \mathbb{C}_\gamma \mathbb{C}_\delta = 0$ . Thus,  $G$  is determined by the subalgebra

of  $sp(2n+2)$  in which  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha$  takes values, and  $H$  is determined by the subgroup of  $U(n) \times U(1)$  that is gauged by  $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$  [10].

More precisely,  $\mathbf{V}$  is an element of  $G^c/B$  (which is, essentially, isomorphic to  $G/H$ ), where  $G^c$  is the complexification of  $G$  and  $B$  the Borel subgroup (3.14), which contains the complexification of  $H$ . From this viewpoint one can easily make contact to supersymmetric  $\sigma$ -models on moduli spaces. According to [28], Kähler potentials for homogeneous Kähler manifolds  $G/H$  can be written in terms of holomorphic CCWZ type coset representatives  $L \in G^c/B$  as arbitrary functions of  $K_0 \equiv v L Q L^\dagger v^\dagger$ . Here,  $L$  transforms under global  $G$  transformations as:  $L(z)g = S(z)L(z)$ , where  $g \in G$  and  $S \in B$ . Furthermore,  $Q$  is the metric of  $G$  and  $v$  denotes an isotropy vector, which is left invariant under  $S$  (up to a  $U(1)$  factor, which corresponds to Kähler transformations). Note that  $K_0$  is manifestly invariant under global  $G$  and under local  $S$  transformations (except for the Kähler transformations). Taking for  $Q$  the symplectic metric (A.25),  $v = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $L = \mathbf{V}$ , the logarithm of  $(-i)K_0$  gives precisely the Kähler potential (1.2) of special geometry:  $v \mathbf{V} Q \mathbf{V}^\dagger v^\dagger = -(X^A \bar{F}_A - \bar{X}^A F_A)$ .

In the generic case,  $G/H = Sp(2n+2)/U(n) \times U(1)$ , but the moduli space in which  $\mathbf{V}(z)$  actually takes values is a complicated subvariety of this space. However, there are special cases where  $G$  and  $H$  are effectively smaller subgroups; one example are the theories with cubic  $F$ -function where the moduli spaces are directly given by  $G/H$ . For instance for  $n = 1$ , the generic moduli space is some complicated one-dimensional submanifold of  $\frac{Sp(4, \mathbb{R})}{U(1)^2}$  whose complex dimension is four. But for constant coupling  $W$  (and for  $c_{AB} = 0$  in (2.17)), the moduli space in which  $\mathbf{V}$  takes values is the one-dimensional submanifold  $G/H = \frac{SL(2, \mathbb{R})}{U(1)}$ . The special geometry of cubic  $F$ -functions is further discussed below in Appendix C.

### 3.2. Non-holomorphic Picard–Fuchs equations

In this section we establish the relationship between the first-order systems (1.4) and (3.8). Let us first note that the gauge group (3.14) can also be extended to non-holomorphic gauge transformations  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(z, \bar{z})$  that leave  $V$  invariant. The point is that eqs. (1.4) and (3.8) are precisely related by such a non-holomorphic gauge transformation. Thus the non-holomorphicity of (1.1) and (1.4) can be viewed as gauge artifact and this reflects the fact that all quantities in special geometry are determined entirely in terms of holomorphic quantities.

More specifically, one can rewrite the non-holomorphic system (1.4) in first-order form

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha \mathbf{U} \equiv (\mathbb{1} \partial_\alpha - \mathcal{A}_\alpha) \mathbf{U} = 0 , \quad (3.23)$$

where  $\mathbf{U} = (V, U_\alpha, \bar{U}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \bar{V})^T$  and

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_\alpha K & \delta_\alpha^\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_\gamma^\beta \partial_\alpha K + \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha}^\beta & -i C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} g^{\gamma\bar{\gamma}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (3.24)$$

In addition  $\mathbf{U}$  also satisfies

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\alpha}} \mathbf{U} \equiv (\mathbb{1} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} - \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\alpha}}) \mathbf{U} = 0 , \quad (3.25)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\alpha}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ g_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i C_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}} g^{\bar{\gamma}\gamma} & -\delta_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\beta}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} K + \Gamma_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} & -\partial_{\bar{\alpha}} K \end{pmatrix} . \quad (3.26)$$

It is easy to verify that as a consequence of (1.1) the connections  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\alpha}}$  have vanishing curvature [14]<sup>★</sup> :

$$[\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_\beta] = [\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\beta}}] = [\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\beta}}] = 0 . \quad (3.27)$$

It follows that via non-holomorphic transformations  $\mathcal{S}(z, \bar{z})$  that leave  $V$  invariant ( $\mathcal{S}V = V$ ), one can gauge away  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\alpha}}$  and make  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  purely holomorphic. As a consequence of eq. (3.27) one can go to a gauge where

$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\alpha}} = \mathcal{S} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \mathcal{S}^{-1} . \quad (3.28)$$

This implies

$$\partial_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{S}\mathbf{U}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}[\mathcal{S}\mathcal{A}_\alpha \mathcal{S}^{-1} - \mathcal{S} \partial_\alpha \mathcal{S}^{-1}] = 0 , \quad (3.29)$$

---

★ Vice versa [14], one can start from a covariantly constant basis  $(V, U_\alpha, U_{\bar{\alpha}}, \bar{V})$  of a flat  $Sp(2n+2, \mathbb{R})$  vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  with connection  $\mathcal{A}$  and derive the fundamental identities (3.23) and (3.25) of special geometry.

so that the non-holomorphic system (3.23) becomes the holomorphic system (3.9) with

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{A}_\alpha\mathcal{S}^{-1} - \mathcal{S}\partial_\alpha\mathcal{S}^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{V} = \mathcal{S}\mathbf{U}, \quad (3.30)$$

which displays a residual gauge symmetry of holomorphic transformations. Of course, one could also have chosen gauge transformations  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  that leave the lowest row of  $\mathbf{V}$ , ie.  $\overline{V}$ , invariant; in that case one would have produced a purely anti-holomorphic connection  $A_{\overline{\alpha}}$ . The point is that there is no invariant subspace with respect to both  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ , so that the connection cannot be completely flattened.

### 3.3. Singular Picard-Fuchs systems

In the previous considerations we have assumed that the matrices  $(W_\alpha)_{\beta\gamma} \equiv W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  are invertible for all  $\alpha$ . It is interesting to find the implications of degenerate fourth-order partial differential equations (3.13).

i) The simplest situation is when  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \equiv 0$ . Then, from (3.8) or alternatively from (1.4) one can see that the Picard-Fuchs identities become of second order

$$\widehat{D}_\alpha \widehat{D}_\beta V = 0. \quad (3.31)$$

In special coordinates we get

$$\partial_a \partial_b V = 0 \quad (3.32)$$

with solutions  $(1, t^a)$ . This corresponds to  $\mathcal{F} = (t^a)^2 - \frac{1}{2}$ , and implies that the symplectic connection (3.10) becomes block diagonal in two  $(n+1)^2$  blocks. The matrices  $\mathbb{C}_a$  are nilpotent of order two ( $\mathbb{C}_a \mathbb{C}_b = 0$ ), and the solution matrix is given by

$$\mathbf{V} = e^{t^a C_a}. \quad (3.33)$$

The moduli space is (locally)  $G/H = U(1, n)/U(1) \times U(n)$  with the embedding  $2n+2 = (n+1) \oplus (n+1)$  of  $U(1, n)$  in  $Sp(2n+2)$ .

ii) We now consider the situation in which  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  does not vanish but is degenerate. This is best discussed in special coordinates where  $\mathbb{I}_\alpha = 0$  and  $\mathbf{A}_\alpha = \mathbb{C}_\alpha$ . Let us first consider  $W_{ijk} = 0$  for some subset of indices  $i, j, k$ , and also  $W_{iab} = 0, W_{ijb} = 0$ .

Then, assuming that the remaining couplings  $W_{abc}$  give rise to an invertible matrix  $(W^a)^{bc}$ , we have two sets of decoupled equations

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i \partial_j V &= 0 \\ \partial_a \partial_b (W^{-1})^{cde} \partial_c \partial_d V &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{3.34}$$

with solutions given by the prepotential

$$\mathcal{F}(t^i, t^a) = c + (t^i)^2 + \mathcal{F}(t^a) .\tag{3.35}$$

To write these equations in arbitrary coordinates we note

$$\widehat{D}_\alpha V_\beta = W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} V^\gamma \equiv \partial_\alpha t^A \partial_\beta t^B \partial_\gamma t^C W_{ABC} V^\gamma\tag{3.36}$$

(where  $A, B, C$  here stands for either  $a, b, c$  or  $i, j, k$ ). Equivalently, multiplying by the inverse vielbeins  $e_A^\alpha \equiv (e_\alpha^A)^{-1} = (\partial_\alpha t^A)^{-1}$  one gets:

$$e_A^\alpha e_B^\beta \widehat{D}_\alpha V_\beta = W_{ABC} V^\gamma e_\gamma^C\tag{3.37}$$

Supposing  $W_{ABC} = W_{abc}, W_{ijk} = W_{aij} = W_{abj} = 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}e_i^\alpha e_j^\beta \widehat{D}_\alpha \widehat{D}_\beta V &= 0 , \\ \widehat{D}_\rho \widehat{D}_\mu (W^{-1})^{abc} e_a^\alpha e_b^\beta \widehat{D}_\alpha \widehat{D}_\beta V &= 0 .\end{aligned}\tag{3.38}$$

Using  $\widehat{D}_\alpha e_\beta^a = 0$ , the last equation can also be written as

$$e_d^\rho e_e^\mu e_a^\alpha e_b^\beta \widehat{D}_\rho \widehat{D}_\mu (W^{-1})^{abc} \widehat{D}_\alpha \widehat{D}_\beta V = 0 .\tag{3.39}$$

In (3.37) and (3.38) all moduli coordinates appear, but the structure of the equations is such that they indeed become decoupled by making a coordinate transformation. The coordinate independent statement on the Yukawa couplings is

$$e_i^\alpha e_j^\beta e_k^\gamma W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0\tag{3.40}$$

for a subset  $(i, j, k \subset A, B, C)$ ;  $A, B, C = 1, \dots, n$ .

iii) There are two more special cases of interest. One corresponds to two non-vanishing Yukawa couplings for different sets of indices  $W_{ijk} \neq 0, W_{abc} \neq 0$  with

$W_{iab} = W_{ija} = 0$ . In this case one gets two sets of fourth-order equations of the type (3.39) .

iv) The other case is when  $W_{ijk} = 0, W_{ija} = 0$  but  $W_{iab} \neq 0$ . Here the matrix  $W_i$  is invertible in the subblock  $(a, b)$  and the matrix  $W_{a,BC}$  is fully invertible. The prepotential, in special coordinates, is of the form

$$\mathcal{F}(t^i, t^a) = C_{ij} t^i t^j + h_i(t^a) t^i + h(t^a) \quad C_{ij} = \text{const.} \quad (3.41)$$

In this case we get three sets of decoupled differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^\alpha e_j^\beta \widehat{D}_\alpha \widehat{D}_\beta V &= 0 \\ e_a^\mu e_A^\rho \widehat{D}_\alpha \widehat{D}_\beta (W^{-1})^{aAB} \widehat{D}_\mu \widehat{D}_\rho V &= 0 \\ e_a^\rho e_i^\mu \widehat{D}_\alpha \widehat{D}_\beta (W^{-1})^{iab} \widehat{D}_\rho \widehat{D}_\mu V &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

The purpose of these exercises was to find the differential equations for decoupled chiral rings. In special coordinates, this reflects in a simple additive structure of  $F$ . On the other hand, the corresponding Kähler metrics by no means have the structure of direct product manifolds, and are rather quite complicated. This shows that special geometry is most easily characterized by  $F$  and not by the geometry of the underlying manifold.

#### 4. Relation to Calabi-Yau manifolds and topological field theory

The discussion of sections 2 and 3 has been completely general and without any reference to Calabi-Yau moduli spaces or to more general  $c = 9, (2, 2)$  superconformal field theories. In this section, we relate our discussion to the special case of Calabi-Yau manifolds and to topological Landau-Ginzburg theories. We understand that part of the material of this section is well-known (see, for example, [29,14,30,31,10,20,4]), but we think it is important to give the precise relationship to special geometry. This relationship is useful for practical computations.

We like first to review some properties of the period matrix [29,30]. For those special geometries for which there exists an underlying Calabi-Yau space  $\mathcal{M}$ , the sections  $V, U_\alpha, \overline{U}_{\overline{\beta}}$  and  $\overline{V}$  in the non-holomorphic system (1.4) can be viewed as basis elements of the third real cohomology of  $\mathcal{M}$ , that is, of  $H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(3,0)}(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}), H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(2,1)}(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}), H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(1,2)}(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$



and  $H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(0,3)}(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$ , respectively. Furthermore, the solutions  $(X^A, F_A)$  of the Picard-Fuchs equation (3.13) are just the periods of the holomorphic three-form,  $\Omega$  [29,30,15]:

$$X^A = \int_{\gamma_A} \Omega, \quad F_B = \int_{\gamma_B} \Omega \quad (4.1)$$

( $A, B = 0, \dots, n$  where  $n \equiv h^{2,1}$ ). Here,  $\gamma_A, \gamma_B$  are the usual basis cycles of  $H_3(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$ . More generally, the complete solution matrix  $V_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{A}}$  of the first-order system (3.9) can be interpreted as the period matrix of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\Pi_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\gamma_{\hat{A}}} \Omega \\ \int_{\gamma_{\hat{A}}} \chi_{\alpha} \\ \int_{\gamma_{\hat{A}}} \overline{\chi}_{\beta} \\ \int_{\gamma_{\hat{A}}} \overline{\Omega} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\alpha}, \hat{A} = 1, \dots, (2n+2). \quad (4.2)$$

It is well-known [29] that the period matrix is defined only up to local gauge transformations,

$$\Pi \sim S \Pi, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \in B, \quad (4.3)$$

and this is precisely the gauge symmetry (3.14) of the first-order system. Thus, we can represent the period matrix also in the holomorphic gauge (3.11), where the non-holomorphic sections  $V, U_{\alpha}, \overline{U}_{\overline{\alpha}}, \overline{V}$  are replaced by holomorphic basis elements  $(V, V_{\alpha}, V^{\alpha}, V^0)$  of  $H^3$ .

In addition, the period matrix is equivalent under conjugation by an integral matrix,  $\Lambda$ :  $\Pi \sim \Pi \Lambda$ . These transformations  $\Lambda \in Sp(2n+2, \mathbb{Z})$ , which correspond to changes of integral homology bases, preserve the symplectic bilinear intersection form  $Q$  of  $H_3(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})$ , that is:  $\Lambda Q \Lambda^T = Q$  (the subset of these transformations that leave  $F$  invariant up to redefinitions constitute the “duality group”). This intersection form is at the origin of the symplectic structure of the period matrix. More precisely, denoting the  $(n+1) \times (2n+2)$ -dimensional submatrix  $\Pi_{\ell}^{\hat{A}}$ ,  $\ell = 0, \dots, n$  by  $\hat{\Pi}$ , then one has in general<sup>\*</sup> [29]

$$\hat{\Pi} Q \hat{\Pi}^T = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

---

<sup>\*</sup> For  $d$ -dimensional complex manifolds, the invariance group of  $Q$  is  $Sp(b^d)$  if  $d$  is even, and is equal to  $SO(b_+^d, b_-^d)$  if  $d$  is odd [29]. As a consequence of this and according to our discussion in section 2, it follows that in the differential equations for one variable one necessarily has  $w_n \equiv 0$  for odd  $n$  [10]. This generalizes  $w_3 = 0$  for Calabi-Yau spaces.

This equation is analogous to Riemann's bilinear identity for period matrices of 2d surfaces, and is satisfied for  $\Pi \in Sp(2n+2)$ . This is indeed a general property of the solution matrix  $\mathbf{V}$  (3.11) in special geometry<sup>†</sup>.

On the other hand, in special geometry there is no intrinsic notion of homology cycles. Rather, the symplectic structure arises from the appearance of  $Q$  in the Kähler potential,

$$K = -\ln\langle\Omega|\bar{\Omega}\rangle = -\ln[V(-iQ)V^\dagger], \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\langle x|y\rangle \equiv \int_{\mathcal{M}} x \wedge y$  and  $V$  is equal to the first row of the symplectic matrix  $\mathbf{V}$ . As we have seen in the previous sections, the existence of such a solution matrix is guaranteed by the fact that the connection in the first-order system (3.9) is symplectic. This matrix equation, in turn, is an expression of  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \partial_\alpha X^A \partial_\beta X^B \partial_\gamma X^C F_{ABC}$ . Thus, in a sense, it is this identity that is responsible for the symplectic structure of special geometry.

Of particular physical interest are the Yukawa couplings,  $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = e^K W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ . According to [30,14], for a given Kähler potential (4.5) the holomorphic sections  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  can be written as:

$$W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \langle\Omega|\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta\partial_\gamma\Omega\rangle. \quad (4.6)$$

It is crucial to note that equations (4.5) and (4.6) determine the gauge of  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  in terms of the gauge of  $K$ , so that there is no ambiguity in the physical couplings  $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ . One can easily check that the derivatives in (4.6) can be replaced by covariant derivatives for free, reflecting the fact that  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  is Kähler and reparametrization covariant. From the first-order systems (3.23) or (3.9) it is clear that differentiation by  $\partial_\alpha$  on  $H^3$  is equivalent to multiplication by the matrix  $A_\alpha$ . Thus, the holomorphic couplings can be written as a triple matrix product:

$$W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = (A_\alpha A_\beta A_\gamma)_V^{V^0} \langle V|V^0\rangle. \quad (4.7)$$

Considering the form of  $A_\alpha$  in either the non-holomorphic gauge (3.24) or in the holomorphic gauge (3.10), it is easy to see that eq. (4.7) is indeed identically satisfied.

---

<sup>†</sup> Of course, not every solution matrix  $\mathbf{V}$  of special geometry needs to correspond to a period matrix of some Calabi-Yau space; this is a variant of the Schottky problem. Thus, special geometry is more general than compactification on Calabi-Yau manifolds.

Let us now discuss how  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  and  $K$  can be computed explicitly. One method is to evaluate the period integrals (4.1), using  $X^A F_A = 2F$  to obtain  $F$ . This is how the Yukawa couplings for the quintic have been computed in [2]. In general, however, it seems to be easier to solve the Picard-Fuchs differential equations [3,4]. These equations, though, just represent identities ultimately expressing the fact that  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \partial_\gamma F$ , and depend explicitly on the unknowns  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ . Thus, one needs additional information in order to pin down the explicit form of these equations. This additional input makes use of the fact that the period matrix can be represented in a very specific way.

To be more precise, consider first the perturbed, quasi-homogeneous potential

$$\mathcal{W}(x_i, \mu_\alpha) = \mathcal{W}_0(x_i) - \sum \mu_\alpha p_\alpha(x_i) , \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, h^{2,1} , \quad (4.8)$$

where  $\mathcal{W} = 0$  describes the Calabi-Yau manifold in question (for simplicity, we restrict our discussion to hypersurfaces in weighted projective 4-space; the generalization is straightforward). Above,  $p_\alpha(x_i)$  denote the marginal operators (which are polynomials in the homogeneous coordinates  $x_i$ ), and the dimensionless moduli  $\mu_\alpha$  are certain functions of the flat coordinates  $t^a$ . As is well-known,  $\mathcal{W}$  can be viewed as the superpotential of a Landau-Ginzburg theory that describes the underlying  $N=2$  superconformal field theory [32], but this interpretation is not necessary in the present context.

The non-trivial point is that the period matrix can be represented, in a particular, holomorphic gauge as follows [29]:

$$\Pi_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{A}} = \int_{\gamma_{\hat{A}}} \frac{\phi_{\hat{\alpha}}(x_i)}{\mathcal{W}^{\ell(\hat{\alpha})}(x_i, \mu_\alpha)} \omega . \quad (4.9)$$

Here, the homology cycle  $\gamma_{\hat{A}}$  is a basis element of  $H_3(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\omega$  an appropriate volume form and  $\ell(\hat{\alpha})$  is determined by the degree of the homogeneous polynomial  $\phi_{\hat{\alpha}}(x_i)$  (so that the integrand scales correctly). In general, these  $\phi_{\hat{\alpha}}(x_i)$  can be any basis of the local ring  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $\mathcal{W}$ , but we restrict here only to those polynomials that represent the third cohomology of the Calabi-Yau space. They generate a subring which we denote by  $\mathcal{R}^{(3)}$ . More specifically, we choose  $\mathcal{R}^{(3)} = \{\phi_{\hat{\alpha}}\} = \{1, p_\alpha, p^\beta, \rho\}$ , where  $p_\alpha$  are the marginal operators in (4.8),  $\rho$  is the unique top element of  $\mathcal{R}$ , and  $p^\beta$  can be defined

such that  $p_\alpha p^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta \rho$ . Clearly,  $\phi_{\hat{\alpha}} = 1, p_\alpha, p^\beta, \rho$  are associated with differential forms belonging to  $H^{(3,0)}, H^{(2,1)}, H^{(1,2)}, H^{(0,3)}$ , respectively.

For example, for the quintic discussed in [2] with  $\mathcal{W} = \sum_{i=1}^5 (x_i)^5 - \mu X$  (where  $X \equiv x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5$ ), the subring  $\mathcal{R}^{(3)}$  consists of elements  $\phi_{\hat{\alpha}} = X^{\hat{\alpha}}, \hat{\alpha} = 0, \dots, 3$ , which are associated with  $H^{(3-\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha})}$ , respectively.

The period matrix (4.9) identically satisfies the following holomorphic, first-order ‘‘Gauß-Manin’’ system:

$$\left[ \mathbb{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_\alpha} - A_\alpha(\mu) \right] \Pi = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \Pi = \begin{pmatrix} \int \frac{1}{\mathcal{W}} \omega \\ \int \frac{p_\beta}{\mathcal{W}^2} \omega \\ \int \frac{p^\gamma}{\mathcal{W}^3} \omega \\ \int \frac{\rho}{\mathcal{W}^4} \omega \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.10)$$

and  $A_\alpha = \mathbb{I}_\alpha + \mathbb{C}_\alpha$ , with

$$\mathbb{I}_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & & \\ * & * & 0 & \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{C}_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & & \\ & (W_\alpha^{(p)})_{\beta\gamma} & & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.11)$$

This system can be seen as a gauge and coordinate transform of the holomorphic special geometry system (3.9) (and also of the non-holomorphic system (3.24)). The matrices  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha$  are the structure constants of the subring  $\mathcal{R}^{(3)}$ , and the couplings  $(W_\alpha^{(p)})_{\beta\gamma}$  are determined by simple polynomial multiplication modulo the vanishing relations, ie., by  $p_\alpha p_\beta = W_{\alpha\beta}^{(p)} p^\gamma \bmod \nabla \mathcal{W}$ . The crucial point is that also the components of  $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$  can be easily computed<sup>\*</sup> directly from  $\mathcal{W}$  (this is explained in detail in [3,4]).

One way to solve the system (4.11) is to go to flat coordinates  $t^a \equiv X^a / X^0$  where the Gauß-Manin connection vanishes. As was shown in detail in [4], imposing this condition gives a differential equation that determines explicitly the dependence of the Landau-Ginzburg couplings  $\mu_\alpha$  on the  $t^a$ . (The precise form of this complicated, non-linear differential equation is not important here and can be inferred from [4].) In such flat coordinates and in an appropriate gauge, the first-order system takes the form (3.6). In going to (3.6), we implicitly compute eq. (4.7)

$$W_{abc}(t) = W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(p)} \frac{\partial \mu_\alpha}{\partial t^a} \frac{\partial \mu_\beta}{\partial t^b} \frac{\partial \mu_\gamma}{\partial t^c} \langle V | V^0 \rangle, \quad (4.12)$$

---

<sup>\*</sup> They arise from the  $\nabla \mathcal{W}$  piece, by partial integration. Note that the above expansion of  $p_\alpha p_\beta$  is in general by no means unique, reflecting the gauge freedom in (4.10).

where  $V = \int \frac{1}{\mathcal{W}} \omega$  and  $V^0 = \int \frac{\rho}{\mathcal{W}^4} \omega$ . One can view (4.12) as a change from a topological basis (with indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ ) to a flat basis (with indices  $a, b, c$ ), and  $\langle V | V^0 \rangle$  as a change of Kähler gauge. It can be inferred from [30] that

$$\langle V | V^0 \rangle = \int_{\Gamma_5} \frac{\rho}{\partial_1 \mathcal{W} \dots \partial_5 \mathcal{W}} d^5 x \equiv \langle\langle \rho \rangle\rangle, \quad (4.13)$$

where  $\Gamma_5$  is the direct product of five one-dimensional contours that wind around the five curves  $\partial_i \mathcal{W} = 0$ , and  $\langle\langle \ \rangle\rangle$  denotes the Grothendieck residue [7,33]. It has the property:  $\langle\langle H \rangle\rangle = 1$  (up to a constant), where  $H$  is the Hessian of the superpotential, that is,  $H \equiv \det[\partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} \mathcal{W}(x_k)]$ . In general,  $\rho$  and  $H$  differ by some holomorphic function and vanishing relations,  $\rho = f_H H \bmod \nabla \mathcal{W}$ , so that

$$\langle V | V^0 \rangle = \langle\langle \rho \rangle\rangle = f_H. \quad (4.14)$$

For example, for the quintic:  $W_{111}^{(p)} = 1$  and  $f_H \sim \frac{1}{1-\mu^5}$ . It follows that  $W_{111} \sim \frac{(\mu')^3}{1-\mu^5}$ , which is the result of [2] (in a particular gauge).

In topological Landau-Ginzburg theory [7,33] one considers three-point correlators:

$$\langle\langle \Phi_a \Phi_b \Phi_c \rangle\rangle = W_{abc}^{(top)} \langle\langle H \rangle\rangle, \quad (4.15)$$

where  $\langle\langle \ \rangle\rangle$  has exactly the same meaning as in (4.13) and where the flat fields are defined [7] by:  $\Phi_a(x_i, t^b) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t^a} \mathcal{W}(x_i, \mu(t^b))$ . Referring back to the form of the superpotential (4.8), one quickly sees that one indeed computes here absolutely the same thing as in (4.12), that is<sup>†</sup>:  $W_{abc}^{(top)} \equiv W_{abc}$ , and the Kähler potential in the corresponding gauge is:  $K = -\log \langle V | \overline{V} \rangle$ .

This is of course as expected, since also  $W_{abc}^{(top)}$  can be represented as a triple derivative of some function  $F$  [7]. One might think that this fact already proves the equality of  $W_{abc}^{(top)}$  and  $W_{abc}$  of special geometry, defined in (4.6). However in [19] it was shown that there generally exist at least two different coordinate systems where  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \partial_\gamma F$  with two different and inequivalent Kähler potentials that solve the defining equations (1.4) of special geometry. Given this potential ambiguity, we feel, therefore, more comfortable displaying explicitly the relationship between the

---

<sup>†</sup> A similar result was obtained in [34], but the precise relation of  $W_{abc}^{(top)}$  to special geometry remained unclear.

couplings  $W_{abc}^{(top)}$  computed in topological field theory on the one hand, and  $W_{abc}$  and  $K$  of special geometry on the other.

Note that rescaling  $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow e^{f(t)}\mathcal{W}$  gives an equivalent superpotential. From (4.9) we see that this just amounts to a Kähler transformation,  $\Omega \rightarrow e^{-f}\Omega$ . Therefore a better, covariant way to specify flat fields is

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\alpha(x_i, t^b) &= -\widehat{\nabla}_\alpha \mathcal{W}(x_i, t^b) \equiv -[\partial_\alpha - \partial_\alpha \widehat{K}] \mathcal{W}(x_i, t^b) , \\ \text{where } \partial_\alpha \widehat{K} &\equiv -\partial_\alpha \log X^0 , \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

such that  $\Phi_\alpha \rightarrow e^f \Phi_\alpha$ . This transformation behavior is actually required for consistency of (4.15) as  $W_{abc} \rightarrow e^{-2f} W_{abc}$  and  $H \rightarrow e^{5f} H$ .

## 5. Outlook

The investigation carried out in this paper leads to a number of open questions. We saw that in a one-dimensional parameter space the Picard–Fuchs equation is characterized by  $W$ –generators. We believe that it is presently not known what the analogue of  $W$ –generators in higher dimensional parameter spaces would be. It seems interesting to find these “invariants” of partial differential equations and their generalized  $W$ –algebra. Furthermore, we have seen that for one variable, instanton corrections to the Yukawa couplings are related to the  $w_4$ –generator. Thus, there might be a generic relation between the geometry of the  $W$ –algebra and instantons on Calabi–Yau manifolds. The multi-variable analogue might elucidate the general structure of instanton corrections to the effective action; it might be instructive to consider a higher dimensional example explicitly.

Another topic we did not touch upon in this paper is the quantum duality symmetry. For Calabi–Yau compactification the duality group is related to the monodromy group of the differential equation [2,4,5,35], which in turn depends on the zeros and poles of the Yukawa couplings. We are confident that the covariant formulation (3.13) of the Picard–Fuchs equations presented in this paper will help to understand the general properties of the duality symmetry.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank P. Candelas, B. de Wit, C. Itzykson, A. Klemm, D. Lüst, R. Stora and S. Theisen for discussions. A.C., W.L. and J.L. would like to thank the Aspen Center for Physics, where part of this work began.

## Appendix A. Special Geometry

In this appendix we first briefly recall the properties of a Kähler–Hodge manifold as they are relevant for  $N = 1$  supergravity. Then we turn to special Kähler manifolds on whose geometrical structure this paper is based. We briefly indicate how special geometry arises from  $N = 2$  supergravity and assemble the main formulas used in the text.

### A.1. Kähler–Hodge manifolds

The metric of an  $n$ -dimensional Kähler manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  is given by

$$g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(z, \bar{z}) = \partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\bar{\beta}}K(z, \bar{z}) , \tag{A.1}$$

where  $K(z, \bar{z})$  is the Kähler potential. Let us introduce the 1-form  $\mathcal{Q}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{Q} = -\frac{i}{2}(\partial_{\alpha}K dz^{\alpha} - \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}K d\bar{z}^{\bar{\alpha}}) . \tag{A.2}$$

Under Kähler transformations

$$K \longrightarrow K + f(z) + \bar{f}(\bar{z}) \tag{A.3}$$

$g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$  is left invariant, while  $\mathcal{Q}$  transforms as a  $U(1)$  connection (Kähler connection):

$$\mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q} + d(\text{Im} f). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Introducing the Kähler closed 2-form  $\omega$

$$\omega = i g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta, \quad d\omega = 0, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

we find

$$d\mathcal{Q} = \omega. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Therefore, the first Chern class of the  $U(1)$  bundle  $L$  whose connection is  $\mathcal{Q}$  coincides with the Kähler class  $\omega$ . A manifold with this property is called a Kähler–Hodge manifold.

A section  $\psi(z, \bar{z})$  of  $L$  with Kähler weight  $(p, \bar{p})$  is defined by the transformation law

$$\psi(z, \bar{z}) \longrightarrow \psi(z, \bar{z}) \quad e^{-\frac{p}{2}f} \quad e^{-\frac{\bar{p}}{2}\bar{f}}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Accordingly, we define  $U(1)$  covariant derivatives by

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha \psi &= (\partial_\alpha + \frac{p}{2} \partial_\alpha K) \psi, \\ D_{\bar{\alpha}} \psi &= (\partial_{\bar{\alpha}} + \frac{\bar{p}}{2} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} K) \psi. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

A covariantly holomorphic section, satisfying  $D_{\bar{\alpha}} \psi = 0$ , is related to a purely holomorphic field  $\tilde{\psi}$  by

$$\tilde{\psi} = e^{\frac{\bar{p}}{2}K} \psi. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$\tilde{\psi}$  has weight  $(p - \bar{p}, 0)$  and satisfies  $\partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \tilde{\psi} = 0$ . The Levi-Civita connections and their curvatures are defined by

$$\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = g^{\alpha\bar{\delta}} \partial_\beta g_{\gamma\bar{\delta}}, \quad R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}\delta}^\alpha = \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} \Gamma_{\beta\delta}^\alpha. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

(Analogous formulas hold for the barred quantities  $\Gamma_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\alpha}}$  and  $R_{\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}^{\bar{\alpha}}$ .) Thus for a vector  $\phi_\alpha$  of weight  $(p, \bar{p})$  the covariant derivatives read

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha \phi_\beta &= (\partial_\alpha + \frac{p}{2} \partial_\alpha K) \phi_\beta - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \phi_\gamma, \\ D_{\bar{\alpha}} \phi_\beta &= (\partial_{\bar{\alpha}} + \frac{\bar{p}}{2} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} K) \phi_\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$



## A.2. Special Kähler manifolds

The notion of special Kähler geometry first arose in the context of coupling vector multiplets to  $N = 2$  supergravity (in four space–time dimensions). It was shown that the Kähler manifold spanned by the scalar fields  $z^\alpha$  of the vector multiplets must be suitably restricted as a consequence of  $N = 2$  supersymmetry [12]. A coordinate–free characterization of such restricted geometry was given in [16] in the context of  $N = 2$  supergravity and in [14,15] for a Calabi–Yau moduli space.

In order to understand how special geometry arises from  $N = 2$  supersymmetry let  $(\lambda^{I\alpha}, \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{I}}^{\bar{\alpha}})$  be the chiral–antichiral components of the gaugino field ( $I = 1, 2$  being an  $O(2)$  index), and  $\mathcal{A}_\mu^A$  ( $A = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ) the vector superpartners and the graviphoton. On general grounds, their supersymmetry transformation laws are

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\epsilon \mathcal{A}_\mu^A &= f_\alpha^A \bar{\lambda}^{\alpha I} \gamma_\mu \epsilon^J \epsilon_{IJ} - 2\epsilon_{IJ} L^A \epsilon^I \psi_\mu^J + h.c. , \\ \delta_\epsilon \lambda^{\alpha I} &= -2ig^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \epsilon_J [C_{\bar{\beta}\gamma\delta} \bar{\lambda}^{\gamma I} \lambda^{\delta J} + C_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}\delta} \bar{\lambda}_L^\gamma \lambda_M^\delta \epsilon^{IL} \epsilon^{JM}] + \dots\end{aligned}\tag{A.12}$$

where the dots stand for terms that are irrelevant for now. Here  $\epsilon^I$  and  $\psi_\mu^I$  are the (chiral) supersymmetry parameter and gravitino field respectively, and  $\epsilon_{IJ}$  is the  $O(2)$  antisymmetric symbol. The sections  $L^A$ ,  $f_\alpha^A$ ,  $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ ,  $C_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}$  and their chiral partners  $\bar{L}^A$ ,  $\bar{f}_{\bar{\alpha}}^A$ ,  $C_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}$ ,  $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  are a priori unrestricted scalars and tensors whose Kähler weight is fixed by Kähler covariance. The restrictions on the Kähler geometry arise from the on–shell closure of the above supersymmetry transformation rules. In the superspace approach, this corresponds to imposing the Bianchi identities on the supercurvatures. One finds that the closure on  $\mathcal{A}_\mu^A$  implies

$$\begin{aligned}D_{\bar{\alpha}} L^A &= 0, & D_{\alpha} \bar{L}^A &= 0, \\ D_{\alpha} L^A &= f_{\alpha}^A, & D_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{L}^A &= \bar{f}_{\bar{\alpha}}^A, \\ D_{\alpha} \bar{f}_{\bar{\beta}}^A &= g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \bar{L}^A, & D_{\bar{\alpha}} f_{\beta}^A &= g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} L^A.\end{aligned}\tag{A.13}$$

(Note that the last set of equations is just the integrability condition of the second set.) Closure of the gaugino transformation implies

$$\begin{aligned}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= C_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}} = 0, \\ D_{\alpha} f_{\beta}^A &= -iC_{\alpha\beta\gamma} g^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} \bar{f}_{\bar{\delta}}^A, \\ D_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{f}_{\bar{\beta}}^A &= -iC_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}} g^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} f_{\delta}^A, \\ D_{\bar{\alpha}} C_{\beta\gamma\delta} &= D_{[\alpha} C_{\beta]\gamma\delta} = 0, \\ D_{\alpha} C_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}\bar{\delta}} &= D_{[\bar{\alpha}} C_{\bar{\beta}]\bar{\gamma}\bar{\delta}} = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{A.14}$$

as well as  $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  being a completely symmetric tensor. As an integrability condition of eq. (A.14), one finds that the curvature satisfies the following constraint

$$R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\gamma}\delta} = g_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}g_{\delta\bar{\gamma}} + g_{\bar{\alpha}\delta}g_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} - C_{\beta\delta\mu}g^{\mu\bar{\mu}}C_{\bar{\mu}\alpha\bar{\gamma}}. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

From eq. (A.14) we also learn that  $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  obeys

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = D_{\alpha}D_{\beta}D_{\gamma}S, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

where  $S$  has weight  $(2, -2)$ .

The above properties lead to the following definition of a special Kähler manifold. A special Kähler manifold is a Kähler–Hodge manifold for which there exists a set of  $n + 1$  sections  $L^A(z, \bar{z})$  and  $\bar{L}^A(z, \bar{z})$  of weight  $(1, -1)$  and  $(-1, 1)$  respectively, satisfying (A.13) and (A.14), and a section of weight  $(2, -2)$  ( $(-2, 2)$ )  $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  ( $C_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}$ ) which is completely symmetric in its indices and satisfies (A.14).

Equivalently, a special Kähler manifold can be defined by introducing a 3-index symmetric tensor  $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  on a Kähler–Hodge manifold with the properties (A.14) and furthermore restricting the curvature by the constraint (A.15). The existence of the sections  $L^A$  and their properties then follow.

The Kähler potential itself is most easily expressed in terms of holomorphic sections. By using (A.9) one defines  $X^A(z)$  and  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(z)$  of Kähler weight  $(2, 0)$  and  $(4, 0)$  respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} X^A(z) &= e^{-\frac{K}{2}} L^A(z, \bar{z}), & \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} X^A &= 0, \\ W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(z) &= e^{-K} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(z, \bar{z}), & \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} W_{\beta\gamma\delta} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

We also need to introduce a functional  $F(X^A)$  which is holomorphic and homogeneous of degree 2 in the  $X^A$ :

$$2F = X^A F_A(X), \quad F_A \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial X^A} F. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

In terms of  $X^A$  and  $F_A$  the Kähler potential which solves the constraints (A.14) and (A.15) reads

$$K(z, \bar{z}) = -\ln iY, \quad Y = X^A N_{AB} X^B = X^A \bar{F}_A - \bar{X}^A F_A, \quad (\text{A.19})$$

where

$$N_{AB} = F_{AB}(X) - \overline{F}_{AB}(\overline{X}), \quad F_{AB} = \partial_A \partial_B F. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

Furthermore,  $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= D_\alpha D_\beta D_\gamma S = e^K \partial_\alpha X^A \partial_\beta X^B \partial_\gamma X^C F_{ABC}, \\ S &= -\frac{1}{2} e^K X^A N_{AB} X^B. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

From eqs. (A.13), (A.14) and (A.17)–(A.21) it is straightforward to verify that  $X^A$  and  $F_A$  satisfy the same set of constraints. Therefore we introduce the  $(2n+2)$  dimensional row vectors<sup>★</sup>

$$V = (X^A, F_A) := (X^0, X^\alpha, F_\alpha, -F_0), \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, n). \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Using (A.17)–(A.21) we rewrite the identities (A.13) and (A.14) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha V &= U_\alpha \\ D_\alpha U_\beta &= -i C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} g^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} \overline{U}_{\bar{\delta}} \\ D_\alpha \overline{U}_{\bar{\beta}} &= g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \overline{V} \\ D_\alpha \overline{V} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.23})$$

It is this set of constraints we use in the main text. Similarly, one derives the constraints including the anti-holomorphic derivative  $D_{\overline{\alpha}}$ .

The Kähler potential can be expressed in terms of  $V$  and  $V^\dagger$  as follows:

$$K = -\ln(V(-iQ)V^\dagger), \quad (\text{A.24})$$

which makes its  $Sp(2n+2, \mathbb{R})$  symmetry manifest. Above,  $Q$  is a symplectic metric which satisfies  $Q^2 = -1$ ,  $Q = -Q^T$ . Our convention is

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & -\mathbb{1}_n & \\ \mathbb{1}_n & & \\ -1 & & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.25})$$

Note that the vector  $V$  in (A.22) is symplectic with respect to this metric.

---

★ We take the expression  $(X^A, F_A)$  always as an abbreviation for  $(X^0, X^\alpha, F_\alpha, -F_0)$ .

An important property which follows from eqs. (A.23) is that the connections of special geometry defined in eq. (A.11) naturally decompose into holomorphic and non-holomorphic parts [11]. This fact can be displayed by defining

$$t^a(z) = \frac{X^a}{X^0}. \quad (\text{A.26})$$

In terms of  $t^a$  and  $X^0$  one finds

$$\begin{aligned} K_\alpha(z, \bar{z}) &= \hat{K}_\alpha(z) + \mathcal{K}_\alpha(z, \bar{z}), \\ \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(z, \bar{z}) &= \hat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(z) + T_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(z, \bar{z}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.27})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_\alpha(z, \bar{z}) &= e_\alpha^a(z) K_a(z, \bar{z}) \equiv e_\alpha^a(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^a} K(t(z), \bar{t}(\bar{z})) \\ \hat{K}_\alpha(z) &= -\partial_\alpha \ln X^0(z) \\ e_\alpha^a(z) &= \partial_\alpha t^a(z) \\ T_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(z, \bar{z}) &= e_\alpha^a e_\beta^b \partial_b g_{a\bar{d}} g^{-1\bar{d}c} e_c^{-1\gamma} \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(z) &= (\partial_\beta e_\alpha^a) e_a^{-1\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.28})$$

The holomorphic objects  $\hat{K}_\alpha$  and  $\hat{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$  transform as connections under Kähler and holomorphic reparametrizations respectively; moreover  $T_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$  is a tensor under holomorphic diffeomorphisms and  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$  is Kähler invariant. As a consequence one can define holomorphic covariant derivatives in analogy with (A.11) by

$$\hat{D}_\alpha \phi_\beta = (\partial_\alpha + \frac{p}{2} \partial_\alpha \hat{K}) \phi_\beta - \hat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \phi_\gamma. \quad (\text{A.29})$$

The covariant Picard–Fuchs equations precisely use this holomorphic derivative.

Moreover,  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is a flat connection, i.e. satisfies

$$\hat{R}_{\delta\alpha\beta}^\gamma \equiv \partial_\delta \hat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - \partial_\alpha \hat{\Gamma}_{\delta\beta}^\gamma + \hat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\delta}^\gamma - \hat{\Gamma}_{\delta\beta}^\mu \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\gamma = 0. \quad (\text{A.30})$$

The holomorphic metric for which  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is a connection reads

$$\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta} = e_\alpha^a e_\beta^b \eta_{ab} \quad (\text{A.31})$$

where  $\eta_{ab}$  is a constant (invertible) symmetric matrix. (Note that  $\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}$  has two holomorphic indices in contrast to the Kähler metric  $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ .)

The flat coordinates are exactly the “special coordinates”  $t^a = z^\alpha$ . In these coordinates we find

$$e_\alpha^a = \delta_\alpha^a, \quad \widehat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\delta = 0, \quad \widehat{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \quad (\text{A.32})$$

(The gauge choice  $X^0 = 1$  implies  $\widehat{K}_\alpha = 0$ .)

In terms of  $t^a$  one defines the Kähler invariant function

$$\mathcal{F}(t^a) \equiv (X^0)^{-2} F(X^A). \quad (\text{A.33})$$

The Kähler potential can then be expressed as (up to Kähler freedom)

$$K = -\ln i [2(\mathcal{F} - \overline{\mathcal{F}}) + (\mathcal{F}_a + \overline{\mathcal{F}}_a)(t^a - \bar{t}^a)]. \quad (\text{A.34})$$

The special coordinates  $t^a$  play the double role of flat coordinates for the holomorphic geometry with flat connection  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  and of “free falling frame” coordinates for (non-holomorphic) special geometry. The analogue of local Lorentz transformations in the free falling frame is given in our case by the symplectic transformations that relate equivalent patches of special coordinates.

## Appendix B. Remarks on $w_3 = 0$ and covariantly constant $w_4$ .

We first show that  $w_3 = 0$  does not imply that the solutions of eq. (2.1) are equivalent to (2.10). Let us start from an arbitrary solution  $V = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ . It is always possible to rescale the entire vector  $V$  by  $1/v_1$ . This leads to  $V \rightarrow \widetilde{V} = (1, v_2/v_1, v_3/v_1, v_4/v_1)$  where  $\widetilde{V}$  satisfies an equation (2.1) with  $a_0 = 0$ . In the next step we perform the coordinate transformation  $z \rightarrow \widetilde{z} = v_2/v_1$ . In these coordinates eq. (2.1) turns into

$$\left( \widetilde{\partial}^4 + \widetilde{a}_3 \widetilde{\partial}^3 + \widetilde{a}_2 \widetilde{\partial}^2 \right) \widetilde{V} = 0, \quad \widetilde{V} = (1, \widetilde{z}, f_1(\widetilde{z}), f_2(\widetilde{z})) \quad (\text{B.1})$$

(Again, we have scaled out  $a_4$ ). The two steps so far can be done for any fourth-order equation. It is equivalent to fixing the scale (Kähler)–freedom and the coordinate frame. In these new coordinates  $w_3$  is given by (we drop the tilde)

$$w_3 = -\partial a_2 - \frac{1}{2} a_2 a_3 + \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 a_3 + \frac{3}{4} a_3 \partial a_3 + \frac{1}{8} a_3^3. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

By writing  $a_3 = -2\partial \ln W$  and  $a_2 = b_2 W$ ,  $w_3$  simplifies to

$$w_3 = W(\partial b_2 - \partial^3 W^{-1}) . \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Thus  $w_3 = 0$  implies the relation

$$b_2 = \partial^2 W^{-1} + c_1 , \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where  $c_1$  is a constant. Inserting (B.4) into (B.1) we find

$$(\partial^2 W^{-1} \partial^2 + c_1 \partial^2) \tilde{V} = 0 . \quad (\text{B.5})$$

For  $c_1 = 0$  this is solved by

$$\partial^2 f_1 = W, \quad \partial^2 f_0 = zW \quad (\text{B.6})$$

which implies

$$f_0 = z f_1 - 2 f_1 + c_2 z + c_3 . \quad (\text{B.7})$$

This is precisely what is true in special geometry. However, one can easily check (by series expansion) that this does not apply any more if  $c \neq 0$ . This means that  $w_3 = 0$  does not fully characterize the differential equation (2.6) of special geometry.

Finally, we briefly discuss solutions of the generic fourth-order equation (2.5) with covariantly constant  $w_4$ :

$$\hat{D} w_4 = 0 . \quad (\text{B.8})$$

This can easily be solved in special coordinates, where (B.8) reduces to  $\partial w_4(t) = 0$ , by setting

$$W(t) = e^{\sqrt{5}\alpha t} . \quad (\text{B.9})$$

From this we obtain  $w_2 = -\frac{5}{2}\alpha^2$  and  $w_4 = \alpha^4$ , and (2.5) is solved by first changing to the coordinate system  $u(t)$  where  $w_2 = 0$ , that is, where

$$\{u, t\} = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 . \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Then one solves the associated second-order linear differential equation

$$\theta'' - \alpha^2 \theta = 0 \Rightarrow \theta_1 = e^{\alpha t} \quad , \quad \theta_2 = e^{-\alpha t} \quad u(t) \equiv \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} = e^{2\alpha t} . \quad (\text{B.11})$$

In the coordinates  $u$  we have

$$w_4(u) = \left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)^4 w_4(t) = \left(\alpha \frac{dt}{du}\right)^4 = \frac{1}{16u^4} , \quad (\text{B.12})$$

and the fourth-order differential equation (2.5) becomes:

$$\tilde{V}^{iv} + \frac{1}{16u^4} \tilde{V} = 0 \quad \tilde{V} = (u')^{3/2} V \quad (\text{B.13})$$

It has solutions  $\tilde{V} = u^{\beta_i}$ , where  $\beta_i$  are the roots of

$$\beta(\beta-1)(\beta-2)(\beta-3) + \frac{1}{16} = 0 . \quad (\text{B.14})$$

Altogether we find:

$$V(t) = e^{3\alpha t} (e^{2\alpha\beta_1 t}, e^{2\alpha\beta_2 t}, e^{2\alpha\beta_3 t}, e^{4\alpha\beta_4 t}) . \quad (\text{B.15})$$

This is similar to the instanton-corrected solution of [2], and more specifically suggests that a covariantly constant  $w_4$  characterizes single instantons, in accordance with our considerations in sect. 2.1.

### Appendix C. Differential equations for cubic $F$ -functions

It is helpful to first reconsider the first-order system for one variable. We have seen in sect. 2.2 that for constant Yukawa coupling and in special coordinates, where  $W = 1$  and  $F = \frac{1}{6}t^3$ , the matrix connection is given by the step generator

$$\mathbf{A}_w = \mathbb{C} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = J_- , \quad (\text{C.1})$$

of the principal  $SL(2)$  subgroup  $\mathcal{K} \subset Sp(4)$ . The diagonal generator  $J_0$  belongs to the gauge group. Thus, in accordance with our considerations in sect 3.1, the moduli space is  $SL(2)/U(1)$ .

More generally, all special geometries with a cubic  $F$ -function

$$F = \frac{1}{3!} W_{abc} \frac{X^a X^b X^c}{X^0}$$

correspond to special, homogeneous Kählerian manifolds,  $G/H$  [12,36]. They typically describe moduli spaces of orbifolds.

Let us fix the gauge  $X^a \equiv t^a$ ,  $X^0 \equiv 1$ . Then the flat coordinates  $t^a$  are associated to  $G/H$ . More precisely, they are associated with the (mutually commuting) broken raising generators of  $G$  in the Cartan-Weyl basis, and thus they are coordinates of  $G^c/B$  (which is, essentially, isomorphic to  $G/H$ ). Furthermore, the subgroups  $H$  act linearly on the coordinates. One may view these groups as being gauged by the connections  $\hat{\Gamma}$  in (3.10). Thus the generalization to many variables is the system of coupled matrix differential equations

$$\left[ \mathbb{1} \partial_a - \mathbb{C}_a \right] \mathbf{V} = 0, \quad (\text{C.2})$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_a$  are the generators of  $G/H$  appropriately embedded into  $sp(2n+2)$  (with  $n = \dim_c G/H$ ). These equations are solved by each column of the symplectic matrix

$$\mathbf{V} = e^{t^a \mathbb{C}_a} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^a & F_a & F \\ 0 & 1 & F_{ab} & t^a F_{ab} - F_b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & t^b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{C.3})$$

whose first row gives the “period” vector<sup>★</sup>,  $V = (1, t^a, \frac{1}{2}W_{abc}t^bt^c, \frac{1}{6}W_{abc}t^at^bt^c)$ . Observe that  $\mathbf{V} \in G^c/B \cong G/H$  reflecting the fact that the moduli space is given by  $G/H$ . Furthermore, the Yukawa couplings are just the top-bottom components of the triple product of coset generators (cf. (4.7)):

$$W_{abc} = (\mathbb{C}_a \mathbb{C}_b \mathbb{C}_c)_1^{(2n+2)}$$

The symplectic embeddings<sup>†</sup> of  $G$  generalize the principal embedding of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Note that in order for  $F$  to be cubic, the representation of  $V$  must be irreducible with respect to  $G$ :

$$R = \underline{2n+2} \text{ of } Sp(2n+2, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow r = \underline{2n+2} \text{ of } G,$$

---

★ Note that the components of  $V$  are like elements of some local ring  $\mathcal{R}^{(3)}$ , the structure constants of which are given by the coset generators  $\mathbb{C}_a$ .

† Such symplectic embeddings have also been considered in [37].



so that the top and bottom rows of  $\mathbf{V}$  are highest and lowest weights of  $r$ . Otherwise, the action of  $\mathbb{C}_a$  vanishes on some intermediate components of  $\mathbf{V}$  (the highest weights), which implies that  $W_{abc} \equiv 0$ . For example, for  $\frac{SU(n,1)}{U(n)}$  with  $n > 1$ ,  $r = \underline{n+1} \oplus \overline{n+1}$  is reducible and accordingly,  $F$  is not cubic but only quadratic.

As an example, consider [37]

$$G/H = \frac{SU(3,3)}{SU(3) \times SU(3) \times U(1)} , \quad \dim_c G/H = n = 9 . \quad (\text{C.4})$$

Here,  $G = SU(3,3)$  is maximally embedded in  $Sp(20)$  according to  $20 = (6 \times 6 \times 6)_{antisym}$ . The variables  $t^a$  correspond to the broken generators  $\mathbb{C}_a$ , which transform as  $(3, \bar{3})$  under  $SU(3) \otimes SU(3)$ . These matrices are the following nine commuting generators of  $G = SU(3,3)$  in the 20-dimensional, threefold totally symmetric representation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_i^j \delta_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{\bar{i}\bar{j}\bar{k}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_i^j \delta_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{C.5})$$

with  $i, \bar{i}, j, \bar{j} = 1, \dots, 3$ . The local symmetry group  $H = U(3) \times U(3)$  is embedded in  $U(9) \times U(1)$ .

## References

- [1] T. Banks, L. Dixon, D. Friedan and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B299 (1988) 613.
- [2] P. Candelas, X.C. de la Ossa, P.S. Green and L. Parkes, Phys. Lett. 258B (1991) 118; Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991) 21.
- [3] A. Cadavid and S. Ferrara, Phys. Lett. B267 (1991) 193.
- [4] W. Lerche, D. Smit and N. Warner, *Differential equations for periods and flat coordinates in two-dimensional topological matter theories*, preprint LBL-31104, USC-91/022, CALT-68-1738.
- [5] D. Morrison, *Picard–Fuchs equations and mirror maps for hypersurfaces*, Duke preprint DUK-M-91-14 (1991).
- [6] E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. 118 (1988) 411, Nucl. Phys. B340 (1990) 281; T. Eguchi and S.K. Yang, Mod. Phys. Lett. A5 (1990) 1693.
- [7] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B352 (1991) 59.
- [8] E. Verlinde and N.P. Warner, Phys. Lett. 269B (1991) 96; Z. Maassarani, Phys. Lett. 273B (1991) 457; A. Klemm, M. G. Schmidt and S. Theisen, *Correlation functions for topological Landau-Ginzburg models with  $c \leq 3$* , Karlsruhe preprint KA-THEP-91-09 (1991).
- [9] P. Aspinwall and D. Morrison, *Topological field theory and rational curves*, preprint DUK-M-91-12; E. Witten, *Mirror manifolds and topological field theory*, preprint IASSNS-HEP-91/83.
- [10] S. Cecotti and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B367 (1991) 359.
- [11] S. Ferrara and J. Louis, *Flat holomorphic connections and Picard-Fuchs identities from  $N=2$  supergravity*, preprint CERN-TH.6334/91.
- [12] B. de Wit and A. Van Proeyen, Nucl. Phys. B245 (1984) 89; B. de Wit, P. Lauwers and A. Van Proeyen, Nucl. Phys. B255 (1985) 569; E. Cremmer, C. Kounnas, A. Van Proeyen, J.P. Derendinger, S. Ferrara, B. de Wit and L. Girardello, Nucl. Phys. B250 (1985) 385.
- [13] S. Ferrara and A. Strominger,  *$N=2$  spacetime supersymmetry and Calabi-Yau moduli space*, preprint CERN-TH.5291/89; S. Cecotti, Comm. Math. Phys. 131 (1990) 517; A. Cadavid, M. Bodner and S. Ferrara, Phys. Lett. B247 (1991) 25.
- [14] A. Strominger, Comm. Math. Phys. 133 (1990) 163.
- [15] P. Candelas and X.C. de la Ossa, Nucl. Phys. B355 (1991) 455.

- [16] L. Castellani, R. D’Auria and S. Ferrara, Phys. Lett. B241 (1990) 57; Class. Quant. Grav. 1 (1990) 317; R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara and P. Fré, Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991) 705.
- [17] N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B303 (88) 206.
- [18] S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara and L. Girardello, Int. Mod. J. Phys. A4 (1989) 2475; Phys. Lett. B213 (1988) 443.
- [19] L.J. Dixon, V.S. Kaplunovsky and J. Louis, Nucl. Phys. B329 (1990) 27.
- [20] B. Blok and A. Varchenko, *Topological conformal field theories and the flat coordinates*, preprint IASSNS-HEP-91/5.
- [21] L. Dixon and D. Gepner, unpublished; W. Lerche, C. Vafa and N.P. Warner, Nucl. Phys. B324 (1989) 427; B. Greene and M. Plesser, Nucl. Phys. B338 (1990) 15; P. Candelas, M. Lynker and R. Schimmrigk, Nucl. Phys. B341 (1990) 383.
- [22] A. Forsyth, *Theory of Differential Equations*, Vol. 4, Dover Publications, New-York (1959).
- [23] P. Di Francesco, C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, Comm. Math. Phys. 140 (1991) 543.
- [24] S. Ferrara, D. Lüst and S. Theisen, Phys. Lett. 242B (1990) 39.
- [25] V.G. Drinfel’d and V. V. Sokolov, Jour. Sov. Math. **30** (1985) 1975.
- [26] B. Kostant, Am. J. Math. 81 (1959) 973.
- [27] J. Balog, L. Feher, L. O’Raifeartaigh, P. Forgács and A. Wipf, Phys. Lett. 244B (1990) 435; Ann. Phys. 203 (1990) 194.
- [28] W. Lerche, Nucl. Phys. B238 (1984) 582; W. Lerche and W. Buchmüller, Ann. Phys. 175 (1987) 159.
- [29] See eg., P. Griffiths, Ann. Math. 90 (1969) 460.
- [30] P. Candelas, Nucl. Phys. B298 (1988) 458.
- [31] S. Cecotti, Nucl. Phys. B355 (1991) 755, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A6 (1991) 1749.
- [32] B. Greene, C. Vafa and N.P. Warner, Nucl. Phys. B324 (1989) 371.
- [33] C. Vafa, Mod. Phys. Lett. A6 (1991) 337.
- [34] A. Giveon and D.-J. Smit, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **6** No. 24 (1991) 2211.
- [35] A. Font, *Periods and Duality Symmetries in Calabi–Yau Compactifications*, preprint UCVFC/DF-1-92.

- [36] E. Cremmer and A. Van Proeyen, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2** (1985) 445; S. Cecotti, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 124 (1989) 23; B. de Wit and A. Van Proeyen, *Special geometry, cubic polynomials and homogeneous quaternionic spaces*, CERN-preprint TH.6302/91.
- [37] P. Fré and P. Soriani, *Symplectic embeddings, Kähler geometry and automorphic functions: The Case of  $SK(n+1) = SU(1,1)/U(1) \times SO(2,n)/SO(2) \times SO(n)$* , preprint SISSA 90/91/EP; S. Ferrara, P. Fré and P. Soriani, *On the moduli space of the  $T^6/Z_3$  orbifold and its modular group*, preprint CERN-TH.6364/92, SISSA 5/92/EP.